arXiv:1407.6659v1 [math.NT] 14 Jul 2014

A NATURAL PARTIAL ORDER ON THE PRIME NUMBERS

LUCIAN M. IONESCU

Abstract. A natural partial order on the set of prime numbers was derived by the author from the internal symmetries of the primary finite fields [1], independently of [2], who investigated Pratt trees [3] used for primality tests.

It leads to a correspondence with the Hopf algebra of rooted trees, and as an application, to an alternative approach to the Prime Number Theorem.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. A partial order on the set of prime numbers 2
2.1. The Lie-Klein picture of a finite fields 2
2.2. Prime extensions and their complexity 3
2.3. The relation with Pratt trees and prime chains 3
2.4. Gradings on the set of primes 4
3. Prime numbers and rooted trees 5
3.1. An external composition on prime numbers 6
3.2. The rationals as a formal group 6
3.3. A probabilistic framework 7
4. On the Prime Number Theorem 7
5. Conclusions 9
6. Acknowledgments 9
References 9

1. Introduction

There is a need for a new insight into the ring of integers [4], p.1, [5], [6], p.143, etc. As usual, physics comes to the rescue[1]: in a quantum digital universe, the primary modes of vibration (periodic structures) should be modeled by primary fields F_p, reflecting the value of the fine structure constant via a modern, quantum computing, model of the hydrogen atom [7].

Date: July 25, 2014.

[1]E.g. invariants of knots, 4-manifolds etc.

One way or another, *categorification* is the correct approach: instead of investigating "sizes" of objects, study the objects themselves, not their "shadows":

$$\begin{array}{lccc} Categories: & Finite\ Sets\ \mathcal{S} & \xrightarrow{Simple\ objects} & Spec_{\mathcal{S}} \\ & \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle Grothendieck} & & \Big\downarrow{\scriptstyle (generators)}{\scriptstyle \text{``}Tangent\ mapping\text{''}} \\ Number\ Systems: & Integers\ \mathbf{Z} & \xrightarrow{Prime\ Numbers} & Spec(\mathbf{Z}). \end{array}$$

Without loss of generality for modeling reality, one can restrict to the study of the category of finite sets $\mathcal{S}$. Once a coordinate system is chosen on sets, reducing their symmetry groups, the category of finite abelian groups is obtained $\mathcal{A}b$. The main object of study, then, is their symmetries, the *internal* functor $Aut_{\mathcal{A}b}$.

In this article we will introduce a lattice structure on the set of prime numbers, derived from $Aut_{\mathcal{A}b}$, leading to a mapping into the Hopf algebra of rooted trees. It is natural to investigate what are the functions analog to those involved in the proof of the Prime Number Theorem, and whether on can obtain a better understanding of the latter "lifting it" through the canonical POSet morphism from the lattice of primes, to natural numbers.

In conclusion, the connection between these two primeval structures, primes and trees, suggests deeper results in the light of Selberg's trace formula interpretation of the Riemann-Mangoldt/Weil exact formula.

Additional explanations regarding the author's approach justifying some of the above claims will be given in a follow up article (see also the extended version available on the web).

2. A partial order on the set of prime numbers

Primes are sizes of the basic finite fields $p = |F_p|$. These, as abelian groups, have "symetries" which in turn are abelian groups with symmetries etc. This leads to a sort of a resolution of the basic finite fields. Its "shadow", via size, determines a partial order on the set of primes. This partial order, conjecturally a lattice structure, allows to define several gradings, leading to a concept of complexity of a prime number.

2.1. **The Lie-Klein picture of a finite fields.** The automorphisms of $(F_p, +)$ as an abelian group ($\mathbf{Z}$-module) form a group isomorphic to the corresponding multiplicative group via the regular representation [2].

Its summands are elementary factors of the form Z_{q^k}, corresponding to the factorization of Euler's totient function value:

$$L : (F_p, \cdot) \to Aut(F_p, +) \cong \prod_{p \in \mathbf{P}} \mathbf{Z}_{p^{k(p)}}, \quad \phi(p) = p - 1 = \prod_{p \in \mathbf{P}} p^{k(p)}.$$

[2]Thus these irreducible "discrete vector spaces" *happen* to be (finite) fields.

Here a positive integer is determined by its *exponent function* $k(p)$, defined on the set of primes $\mathbf{P}$. [3] For example, $Aut(F_{19}) \cong \mathbf{Z}_2 \times \mathbf{Z}_{3^2}$, since $19 - 1 = 2 \cdot 3^2$.

The factorization of $\phi(p) = p - 1 = 2^m \cdot q$ will be called the *Proth factorization*.

2.2. **Prime extensions and their complexity.** In this way the smaller primes q dividing $p - 1$ represent the "Lie generators" of the "space" $(F_p, +)$, allowing for the definition of a natural partial order.

Definition 2.1. A prime q is called a generator of the prime p, denoted $q << p$ iff q divids $p - 1$.

For example 2 and 3 are generators of $p = 19$. Fermat primes $p = 2^n + 1$ have only one generator, $q = 2$.

Note that the "multiplicity" of a prime in the factorization of $p - 1$ is disregarded at this stage.

Proposition 2.1. *The set of prime numbers* $(\mathbf{P}, <<)$ *is a connected partial ordered set (POSet) with minimal element* 2. *The "identity" function:*

$$I : (\mathbf{P}, <<) \to (\mathbf{N}, <), \ I(p) = p$$

is a morphism of POSets, representing a refinement of the total ordering of primes by "size".

The properties of this POSet are not clear to the author at this time, e.g. whether it is a lattice, its cohomology etc.. The "Euclid's trick" $N = p \cdot p' + 1$ suggests the existence of a $p \cup p'$, but there seems to be no reason for the uniqueness of $p \cap p'$.

2.3. **The relation with Pratt trees and prime chains.** V. Pratt introduced the concept of prime certificate in [3], as a collection of data associated to a given number n as his root, as a proof of primality via the Lucas primility test. The nodes include primitive roots of Z/qZ besides the divisors $q|p - 1$.

The present author arrived to the same notion starting top-down, via categorification of primes, investigating the structure of basic finite fields.

The emphasis in this article is on the global structure of P as a POSet, and its properties towards a better understanding of multiplicative number theory and the duality between prime numbers and the non-trivial zeroes of the Riemann zeta function.

The partial order was directly introduced in [2], inspired by Pratt trees. Chains of primes are paths in Pratt trees.

In brief, disregarding multiplicity in the subtree of partial order determined by p in P coincides with the tree obtained by forgetting the primitive roots in a Pratt tree.

[3]... towards a function field interpretation of the rationals, and application of duality of algebraic quantum groups.

For example the tree t_{47} representing the cutoff of the POSet P at $p = 47$

$$t(47) = \quad \begin{array}{ccccccccc} 47 & \longrightarrow & 23 & \longrightarrow & 11 & \longrightarrow & 5 & \longrightarrow & 2 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 2 & & 2 & & 2 & & 2 & & \end{array}$$

is implicit in the Pratt tree (prime certificate or proof) from [3], p.215. In the above tree the nodes are labeled with the corresponding primes, although the "prime value" of the node can be uniquely determined going up the branches.

The relation between the POSet P and its trees on one hand, and Pratt trees/ prime chains on the other, can be used to transport statistical results regarding their "abundance", maximal depth etc.; for details regarding such results see [2].

The main advantage of thinking globally and introducing the POSet P "naturally" (conceptually), from its categorical interpretation is the possibility of studying the correlation of primes, via their common symmetries, from an algebraic point of view related to p-adic numbers [11, 10, 9]. This direction of research will be addressed elsewhere.

2.4. **Gradings on the set of primes.** There are a few natural functions defined on P, including a grading by "support".

Definition 2.2. The function $w : P \to \mathbf{N}$, $w(p) = dim(Aut(F_p, +))$ is called the *grading of primes by support*.

The term "support" is used because of the interpretation of the multiplicative (positive) rationals as functions on P: $r = m/n : P \to \mathbf{Z}$, corresponding to their unique factorization. For example $w(19) = 2$, since the symmetries of F_{19} has two generators (the rank, or "local" dimension, of the $\mathbf{Z}$-module is two). Alternatively, $p - 1 = 19 - 1 = 18$ is a function supported on two points: 2 and 3. The "odd" even prime 2 is an initial element in this POSet, and plays the role of a unit, as it will explained later on. For now it will be treated on equal footing with the other primes, even though the 2^k symmetry/factor of $p - 1$ is "special", clearly a reflection linked to the orientation of the cycles of $Aut(F_p, +)$.

Definition 2.3. The *total weight* of a prime p is

$$W(p) = \sum_{p'|p-1} \nu_{p'}(p-1)).$$

Here $\nu_p(n) = k(p)$ (p-adic valuation) is another notation for the value of the exponent function $k(p)$.

For example $W(19) = 1 + 2 = 3$, since $19 - 1 = 2^1 \cdot 3^2$.

These two functions will have natural interpretations when associating rooted trees to primes, reflecting the complexity of the primes, and of the structure of the corresponding primary fields.

We mention a few aspects to be studied, of possible interest to the reader: a) refining the properties of arithmetic function, when taking into account the POSet structure of P; b) the relation between Proth Theorem and the structure of this POSet; c) the homology of the POSet of primes and its relation with the structure of rooted trees.

3. Prime numbers and rooted trees

To each prime p we associate a rooted tree $t(p)$ representing the hierarchy of generators of F_p, when applying Aut_{Ab} repeatedly.

This is essentially the Pratt tree, also called *prime certificate* [3], without the explicit primitive root, or "witness", which is associated with its nodes.

We will define this recursively, at the level of prime factors of $Aut_{Ab}(F_p, +)$:

$$t(2) = \bullet, \quad t(p) = B^+(t(p_1), ..., t(p_n)), \quad p - 1 = \prod_1^n p_i,$$

where B^+ is the operator which adjoins a common root to the input rooted trees. For example

$$t(181) = B^+(\bullet, t(2), t(2), t(3), t(3), t(5)),\ t(3) = B^+(\bullet),\ t(5) = B^+(\bullet, \bullet).$$

$t(181) =$

$\phi(91) = 2^2 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5$

$\phi(3) = 2,\ \phi(5) = 2^2$



Remark 3.1. Note that multiplicity of a prime factor, e.g. 3^2 in the above example, is recorded as a multiplicity of the corresponding leaf.

Alternatively, to better reflect the underlying algebraic structure, i.e. the elementary factor $\mathbf{Z}_{3^2}$, one may chose to repeat the factor "vertically", attaching a *bamboo* of length equal to the multiplicity k, here $k = 2$. Then besides adjoining the leafs to a root, one needs to substitute the trees corresponding to the elementary factors, to the nodes of the bamboo (operation denoted $\circ$):

$$t(p) = B^+(b(k_1) \circ t(p_1), ..., b(k_l) \circ t(p_l)), \quad p - 1 = \prod_1^l p_j^{k_j}\ \ (p_i\ distinct).$$

In this case, a repetition "scheme" similar to Gerstenhaber composition, needs to be prescribed in order to define $\circ$.

At this early stages of development of the theory, we chose the simpler definition above, using a "horizontal" scheme to record multiplicity.

Once the mapping $t : P \to RT$, from prime numbers to rooted trees is defined, it is natural to extend it linearly to a map between the integer lattice of prime numbers and the Hopf algebra of rooted trees $t : g_P \to H_{rt}$:

$$t(\sum_{p\in P} k_p X_p) = \sum_{k\in P} k_p t(p),$$

where the function $k : P \to \mathbf{N}$ is finitely supported.

3.1. **An external composition on prime numbers.** The "Euclid's trick" (take products of primes, including 2, and add one), almost allows to define a composition of primes. It is the analog of root adjoining operator B^+ on rooted trees (and forests).

If p and q are primes, the product $(p-1)(q-1)$, which corresponds to joining their symmetries at categorical level, might not correspond to the symmetries of a finite field, since

$$p * q = (p-1)(q-1) + 1$$

is not necessarily a prime number, e.g. $3 * 5 = 9$.

Note, thought, that it defines an associative operation on $\mathbf{Q}$, and transport this definition on g_P, as a *fusion rule* [4]:

$$X_p \star X_q = \sum_{r\in P} k(r) X_r, \quad (p-1)(q-1)+1 = \prod_{r\in P} r^{kr}.$$

and extend it to a linear binary operation, still denoted $*$.

Lemma 3.1. *$*$ an associative binary operation on g_P with identity X_2.*

Proof. Associativity comes from the correspondence with the multiplicative group of rational numbers to be introduced in shortly, and the fact that $*$ is an associative operation.

The identity is clearly X_2, since $2 * q = (2-1)\cdot(q-1)+1 = q$. □

3.2. **The rationals as a formal group.** To make the multiplicative group of rational numbers a formal group, "change coordinates", defining the (multiplicative) "position vector" of $x \in Q$ by $r_x = x - 1$ (difference between x and the neutral element). Then define

$$x \star y = F(r_x, r_y) = r_x + r_y + r_x \cdot r_y.$$

Proposition 3.1. *There is a natural isomorphism of formal groups:*

$$Exp : g_P \to (Q_+^\times, \cdot),\ Exp(X_p) = p - 1,$$

[4] Hom_{Ab} is an internal functor.

between the additive formal group $(g_P, +)$ *with addition:*

$$F(X_p, X_q) = X_p + X_q,$$

and the multiplicative formal group $(\mathbf{Q}_+^\times, \star)$ [12]. *The inverse is called the formal logarithm.*

Remark 3.2. The exponential implements a "tangent map" point of view, conform Lie Theory, to reflect the action of the automorphism functor Aut_{Ab}, and the fact that the basic finite fields F_p are tangent spaces of the p-adic k-th order truncations of the p-adic "quantum abelian groups".

Remark 3.3. This leads to a framework reminiscent of star products and deformation quantization: g_P plays the role of a Lie (bi)algebra, Q is the associated group, and p-adic numbers $Q_p = F_p((h))$ are a deformation of the laurent series in the formal parameter h. This idea will be explored elsewhere.

3.3. **A probabilistic framework.** The presence of this *two* fundamental "God given" structures, prime numbers and rooted trees, and the link between them is highly intriguing; why two?

Taking as a working hypothesis that they are "assymptotically" the same in some sense, one could ponder whether prime numbers correspond to the cycles of the cohomology of rooted trees: see the "Universal cohomological problem [15], or that rooted trees, as a basis in the Hopf algebra of trees, could be a more fundamental probabilistic space to study primes as a random variable, then the set of integers:

$$C : H_{rt} \to \mathbf{Q}, \quad X : (PRT, \text{“}\rho''\text{)} \to (\mathbf{N}, card).$$

Here PRT is the subspace in g_P generated by *prime rooted trees*, the image of $t : P \to RT$, so that the image of the random variable X is the set of primes $P \subset N$.

The linear mapping C defined on the basis of rooted trees by extending the random variable X from PRT to RT should be a section of t.

The problem is to construct it using somehow the contraction

$$\tau : g_P \to g_P, \quad \tau(X_p) = Div(Exp(X_p)),$$

where

$$Div(n) = \sum k(p) X_p, \quad n = \prod_{p \in P} p^{k(p)},$$

is the *principal divisor* of the "rational function" $k : P \to \mathbf{Z}$ associated to the natural number n (more generally, to any non-zero rational number).

4. On the Prime Number Theorem

The general idea is to reduce the PNT, via linearization, to a "simple", Riemann-Roch-like statement, easely prooved at the level of Hopf algebras of rooted trees, its basis.

The Prime Number Theorem states that the probability density function of prime numbers is asymptotically $1/\ln n$:

$$P(1 < X < n) = \pi(n)/n \sim 1/\ln(n), \quad n \in \mathbf{N}.$$

Now $\ln(n)$ naturally "lives" in g_P, the linearization of $\mathbf{Q}$.

Remark 4.1. $\ln(n) = \sum k(p)\ln(p)$ is a "divisor" limiting the number of basic elements X_p in g_p, in a way similar to Riemann-Roch Theorem. This cannot be a simple "coincidence".

The weight $\ln(p)$ present in the various formulas used in the proof of PNT via the Riemann zeta function is in fact associated with a measure. It appears under the disguise of the *Mangold "function"*

$$\Lambda(p^k) = \ln(p), \quad (or \Lambda(n) = 0 \ otherwise).$$

Recall the other Chebyshev function:

$$\Psi(x) = \sum_{n<x} \Lambda(n),$$

and note that it can be interpreted as the integral on $(g_P, \ln(p)dp)$, of a cutoff exponent function $K(p) = \lceil x/p \rceil$.

As for the "definite integrals" (the above dependence on x can be interpreted as an anti-derivative), the following diagram relates the functional model of the rational numbers with the usual context:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (g_P, \ln(p)dp) & \xrightarrow{exp} & (\mathbf{Q}_+, \cdot) \\ & \searrow^{\int} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \ln(r)} \\ & & (\mathbf{R}, +, dx) \end{array}$$

$$p = exp(X_p), \quad \int_P k = \sum k(p)\ln(p) = \ln(n),$$

where the divisors $k(p)$ are interpreted as functions, and the exponential is the natural isomorphism determined by the bijection between the two basis of the two free abelian groups.

The natural measure on g_P "close" to the measure $\ln(p)dp$ is the "size" of the corresponding rooted tree t_p, i.e. its degree:

$$deg(t_p) = number \ of \ nodes.$$

Conjecture 4.1.

$$\ln(p) \sim deg(t_p).$$

Proof. A preliminary experimental check involving students was performed as part of the ISU Summer Research Academy 2012-2013 [14]. □

The proportionality factor obtained could be due to a Gaussian distribution of the exponents of primes as symmetries, which were not accounted for in this particular numerical exploration.

5. Conclusions

Some new ideas are introduced in order to study the prime numbers.

First, categorification teaches us to study the objects themselves, here the basic finite fields, not their iso classes; and here natural numbers cannot even distinguish between Z_{p^k} and Z_p^k.

From the start, studying the symmetries of simple abelian groups leads to a partial order on the set of prime numbers. This in turn, allows for a correspondence with rooted trees and several grading functions, with a corresponding concept of complexity of prime numbers.

Next, viewing the multiplicative group of rational numbers as functions on the set of primes, leads to alternative approaches for a proof of the Prime Number Theorem, where the POSet structure allows to structure the internal "production" of new primes out of old. Whether this will lead to a direct and conceptual proof of the PNT, remains to be seen.

Finally, the Lie-Klein geometric interpretation, which is suited for generalization in the context of formal groups and deformation theory, leads to consider algebraic quantum groups as the proper framework for studying adeles and the duality between primes and zeta zeroes, which is "behind" the Riemann Hypothesis.

Overall, a modern approach in Multiplicative Number Theory is needed, beyond the traditional analytic approach, which has "too much analysis"; and since quantum theory is envisioned to help prove the Riemann Hypothesis, deformation theory and path integrals should be used as main tools.

6. Acknowledgments

I thank Prof. Carl Pomerance for his comments, including the relation with Pratt trees.

References

[1] L. M. Ionescu, Prime Numbers and Multiplicative Number Theory, Discrete mathematics Seminar, 10/2011, http://my.ilstu.edu/lmiones/presentations_drafts.htm
[2] K. Ford, S. V. Konyagin and F. Luca, Prime chains and Pratt trees, 0904.0473, 2010.
[3] V. R. Pratt, Every prime has a succint certificat, SIAM J. Comput. Vol.4, No.3, Sept. 1975, 214-220.
[4] Yuri Manin, Lectures on zeta functions and motives, MPI / 92-50.
[5] M. J. Shai Haran, The mystery of the real prime, 2001.
[6] Paula Tretkoff, Noncommutative geometry and number theory, Clay Mathematics Proceedings, Vol.6, 2006.

[7] L. M. Ionescu, Remarks on physics as number theory, 2011, http://www.gsjournal.net/old/files/4606_Ionescu2.pdf
[8] L. M. Ionescu, From Lie theory to deformation theory, 2004, http://arxiv.org/abs/0704.2213
[9] L.M. Ionescu, p-adic math-physics, outlines for presentations in the Math-Physics seminar, 2013-2014.
[10] L.M. Ionescu, p-adic numbers and algebraic quantum groups, work in progress.
[11] L.M. Ionescu, The quantum group of rationals, my.ilstu.edu/~lmiones/presentations_drafts.htm
[12] Wikipedia, Formal groups.
[13] L.M. Ionescu, Real numbers and p-adic numbers: a Haar analysis point of view, work in progress.
[14] L.M. Ionescu a.a., ISU Summer Research Academy 2012, 2013, http://cemast2012.webs.com/prime-numbers
[15] Gracia-Bondia, Jos M., Varilly, Joseph C., Figueroa, Hector, Elements of Noncommutative geometry, 2001, Birkhauser Basel.

Department of Mathematics, Illinois State University, IL 61790-4520
E-mail address: lmiones@ilstu.edu